United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

August 26, 2020 David J. Bradley, Clerk

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

Plaintiff-Counterclaim-Defendant VS S CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:19-4414 ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP., Defendant-Counterclaim-Plaintiff **********************************	§	
ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP., Defendant-Counterclaim-Plaintiff **********************************	§	
ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP., Defendant-Counterclaim-Plaintiff **********************************	§	
Defendant-Counterclaim-Plaintiff § **************** ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP., Third-Party Plaintiff § VS \$ ST. PAUL MERCURY INSURANCE \$ COMPANY; THE TRAVELERS \$	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:19-4414
Defendant-Counterclaim-Plaintiff § **************** ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP., Third-Party Plaintiff § VS \$ ST. PAUL MERCURY INSURANCE \$ COMPANY; THE TRAVELERS \$	§	
**************************************	§	
ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP., Third-Party Plaintiff VS ST. PAUL MERCURY INSURANCE COMPANY; THE TRAVELERS S ST. PAUL MERCURY INSURANCE S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	§	
Third-Party Plaintiff \$ VS \$ ST. PAUL MERCURY INSURANCE \$ COMPANY; THE TRAVELERS \$	****	******
VS \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	§	
ST. PAUL MERCURY INSURANCE § COMPANY; THE TRAVELERS §	§	
ST. PAUL MERCURY INSURANCE § COMPANY; THE TRAVELERS §	§	
COMPANY; THE TRAVELERS §	§	
COMPANY; THE TRAVELERS §	§	
, ·	§	
COMPANIES	§	
COMPANIES, §	§	
Third-Party Defendants. §	c	
•		\$\text{\tinx}\text{\tinx}\\ \text{\tinte\text{\tin}\text{\ti}\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\te\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\tint{\text{\ti}\text{\texin}\tint{\text{\tintet{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\texit}

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION

Pending before the Court in the above referenced proceeding is Third-Party Defendants St. Paul Mercury Insurance Company and The Travelers Companies' Motion to Dismiss (Doc. No. 21); Anadarko Petroleum Corporation's response (Doc. No. 25) the reply (Doc. No. 26); Magistrate Judge Stacy's Memorandum and Recommendation (Doc. No. 36; and Third-Party Defendants' objections to the Memorandum and Recommendation (Doc. No. 40).

The Court has reviewed the case, *de novo*, and agrees with the Magistrate Judge's conclusion that, as a party interested under the written contracts, Anadarko has a right to seek court judgment interpreting these contracts. Unlike judgments that establish liability, questions of construction are not subject to the no-direct-action rule. Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that Third-Party Defendants St. Paul Mercury Insurance Company and The Travelers Companies' Motion to Dismiss (Doc. No. 21) is **DENIED**.

Signed at Houston, Texas, this ______ day of August, 2020.

ANDREW S. HANEN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE